8

13

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

25

26

24

27 28

## THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Oakley is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, having its principal place of business at One Icon, Foothill Ranch, California 92610, doing business within this judicial district.
- 3. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges that Defendant Weyco is a Wisconsin corporation with its principal place of business located at 333 W Estabrook Blvd., Glendale, Wisconsin 53212. Oakley is informed and believes, Defendant is doing business as Nunn Bush on www.nunnbush.com. Oakley alleges that Defendant Weyco is doing business within this judicial district through its distribution of product to third parties within and throughout this judicial district and through its website www.nunnbush.com, and others.

## **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

- 4. As early as 1976, Oakley has been and continues to be actively engaged in the manufacture and sale of high quality sport eyewear products, footwear, and apparel. Oakley is currently manufacturing and selling several lines of footwear and accessories under the "Oakley" name, which has come to be known as a source indicator of Oakley products. The "Oakley" trademark has been used continuously in association with its footwear products since 1997.
- 5. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Registered Trademark No. 2,293,046, duly registered on November 16, 1999, claiming the trademark "OAKLEY" for use on goods in Classes 25. A true and correct copy of this trademark registration is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as Exhibit 1.
- 6. The trademark registration referred to above is in full force and effect. The trademark and the good will of the business of Plaintiff Oakley in connection with which the trademark has been used have never been abandoned. Oakley continues to preserve and maintain its rights with respect to said trademark registration.

- 7. The trademark above is inherently distinctive in appearance and has become, through public acceptance, a distinctive designation of the source of origin of goods offered by Oakley.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendant Weyco and its agents, employees, and servants have advertised and sold products bearing the trademark referred to above, which advertisements and products sold are confusingly similar to that of the Oakley's trademark, and are, therefore, an infringement of Oakley's above described trademark.
- 9. Defendant has received written notice of Oakley's proprietary rights in its trademark by way of actual written notice from Oakley's attorneys. Further, Defendant has received constructive notice of Oakley's trademarks as Oakley caused the trademarks to be placed plainly on the advertisements and/or packaging. Despite said actual and constructive knowledge, Defendant has continued to infringe Oakley's trademark rights. On information and belief, such infringement by Defendant has been willful and wanton.
- 10. Since 1997, Oakley has expended money in the promotion of its footwear product lines utilizing the "Oakley" trademark. As a result of these promotional efforts, Oakley products have become and are now known to be connected to the mark and are recognized in this District and elsewhere as emanating from and authorized by Oakley.
- 11. Oakley's products and their connection with the "Oakley" trademark have become, through public acceptance, a distinctive designation of the source of origin of goods offered by Oakley and an asset of incalculable value as a symbol of Oakley and its quality goods and good will.
- 12. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the Defendant's Nunn Bush line of footwear products bearing the name "Oakley", are designed, manufactured, packaged, advertised, displayed and/or sold expressly to deceive customers desirous of purchasing products authorized by Oakley or to profit from

the demand created by Oakley for the inherently distinctive features of the Oakley products.

- 13. Oakley is further informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the presence of Defendant's products in the marketplace using a mark that is the same or substantially similar to Oakley's trademark damages the value of Oakley's exclusive rights. The presence of the products in the marketplace using a mark that is the same or substantially similar to Oakley's trademark is likely to diminish the apparent exclusivity of the genuine Oakley products thereby dissuading potential customers who otherwise would have sought Oakley products. Upon information and belief, Oakley alleges that such deception has misled and continues to mislead and confuse many of the purchasers to buy the products sold by Defendant and/or has misled non-purchasers to believe the products emanate from or are authorized by Oakley.
- 14. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the sale of Defendant's products using a mark the same or substantially similar to Oakley's trademark has resulted in lost sales, has reduced the business and profit of Oakley, and has greatly injured the general reputation of Oakley, all to Oakley's damage in an amount not yet fully determined.
- 15. The exact amount of profits realized by Defendant as a result of its infringing activities, are presently unknown to Oakley, as are the exact amount of damages suffered by Oakley as a result of said activities. These profits and damages cannot be accurately ascertained without an accounting. Further, Defendant's actions are irreparably injuring Oakley and will continue unless and until enjoined by this court.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

16. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 15 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.

8

15

24

28

- 17. This is a claim for trademark infringement, and arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1114 against Defendant Weyco.
  - 18. Jurisdiction is founded upon 15 U.S.C. § 1121.
- 19. Oakley is the owner of U.S. Registered Trademark No. 2,293,046, which confer on Oakley the exclusive right to use this trademark in commerce. True and correct copies of U.S. registered Trademark No. 2,293,046 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 20. The mark has been in use in commerce in connection with the sale of Oakley footwear products continuously since at least as early as their respective dates of issue. The marks appear clearly on product, packaging, advertisements. product brochures, and/or the website www.oakley.com.
- through their agents, employees 21. Defendant, and servants, have manufactured, advertised, and sold products using a substantially similar mark to Oakley's registered marks without authority from Oakley for doing so.
- 22. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant's use of the name "Oakley" is identical to Oakley's registered trademark "Oakley". Accordingly, Defendant's product should be considered counterfeit. Defendant's use is within the same class of goods as Oakley's trademark rights, class 25.
- 23. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant's use of "Oakley" in connection with goods in commerce constitutes trademark infringement, false designation or origin, a false description or representation of goods and wrongfully and falsely represents to the consuming public that the Defendant's advertising and products bearing the Oakley trademark originated from or somehow are authorized by Oakley.
- 24. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant's unauthorized use of Oakley's registered trademark has caused confusion in the marketplace as to the source of origin of Defendant's products and has caused damage to Oakley within this jurisdictional district.

5

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

25. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant's willfully infringed upon Oakley's exclusive rights under its trademark with the intent to trade upon the good will of Oakley and to injure Oakley.

- 26. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant has derived, received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement, gains, profits, and advantages in an amount not yet ascertainable, but will be determined at the time of trial.
- 27. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant will continue to infringe Oakley's registered trademark to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law unless Defendants are enjoined by this court.
- 28. Oakley has been damaged in this judicial district as a result of the Defendant's infringement of its trademark.

## **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

- 29. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 28 are repled and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. This is an action for unfair competition, false designation of origin of goods, and false description or representation of goods against Defendant, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
  - 31. Jurisdiction is founded upon 15 U.S.C. § 1121.
- 32. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant's use of "Oakley" constitutes a false designation of origin, a false description or representation of goods, and wrongfully and falsely represents to the consuming public that the Weyco products originated from or somehow are authorized by Oakley. These acts amount to utilizing a false designation of origin and a false description or representation in interstate commerce to compete unfairly with Oakley.

 33. Oakley is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the actions of Defendant was done willfully, knowingly and maliciously with the intent to trade upon the good will of Oakley and to injure Oakley.

34. The Defendant's acts are in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(b), and will continue to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley unless enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Oakley, Inc. prays as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be adjudicated to have infringed Oakley's Registered Trademark No. 2,293,046, and that the trademark is valid and enforceable and is owned by Oakley;
- 2. That Defendant, and his agents, servants, employees, and attorneys and all persons in active concert and participation with them, be enjoined and restrained, during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from:
  - A. Using the Oakley's Registered Trademark No. 2,293,046 or any mark similar thereto in connection with the sale of any goods;
    - B. Using "Oakley" mark in connection with the sale of any goods;
  - C. Committing any acts which may cause purchasers to believe that the Defendant or the products Defendant is selling are sponsored or authorized by, or are in any way associated with Plaintiff;
  - D. Selling, passing off, or inducing or enabling others to sell or pass off any products as products produced by Plaintiff, which products are not Plaintiff's or are not produced under the control and supervision and approved by Plaintiff; and
    - E. Infringing Plaintiff's trademark rights;
- 3. That Defendant, its agents, servants, employees and attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them, be enjoined and restrained, during the pendency of this action, and permanently thereafter

25

26

27

28

from advertising or selling products in any manner that does or tends to dilute the distinctive value of Oakley's "Oakley" trademark;

- 4. That Defendant be directed to file with this court and serve upon Oakley within 30 days after the service of the injunction, a report in writing under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the injunction;
- 5. That Defendant be required to account to Oakley for any and all profits derived by it, and all damages sustained by Oakley by reason of Defendant's trademark infringement, unfair competition and false designation of origin, together with interest and costs;
- 6. For all of Defendant's profits derived from their infringement of Plaintiff's trademark rights pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
  - 7. For treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- 8. That an award of reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees be awarded against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a); and
- 9. That Oakley have such other and further relief as the circumstances of this case may require and as this court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March 14 2008

WEEKS, KAUFMAN, NELSON & JOHNSON

- he

0

Chandler G. Weeks

Attorney for Plaintiff, Oakley, Inc.

## JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Oakley, Inc. hereby requests a trial by jury in this matter.

DATED: March <u>14</u> 2008

WEEKS, KAUFMAN, NELSON & JOHNSON

Chandler G. Weeks

Attorney for Plaintiff, Oakley, Inc.

Complaint for Trademark Infringement

Int. Cl.: 25

Prior U.S. Cls.: 22 and 39

Reg. No. 2,293,046

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Registered Nov. 16, 1999

# TRADEMARK PRINCIPAL REGISTER

## **OAKLEY**

OAKLEY, INC. (WASHINGTON CORPORA-TION) 10 HOLLAND IRVINE, CA 92718

FOR: CLOTHING, HEADWEAR AND FOOT-WEAR AND FOOTWEAR. NAMELY, SPORT SHIRTS, JERSEYS, SHIRTS, JACKETS, VESTS, SWEATSHIRTS, PULLOVERS, COATS. SKI PANTS, HEADWEAR, CAPS, SHOES, ATHLET-IC FOOTWEAR, ALL PURPOSE SPORTS FOOTWEAR AND SOCKS, IN CLASS 25 (U.S. CLS. 22 AND 39).
FIRST USE 10-0-1997; IN COMMERCE 10-0-1997.
OWNER OF U.S. REG. NOS, 1,356,297, 1,522,692, AND 1,980,039.
SEC. 2(F).

SN 75-154,056, FILED 8-22-1996.

DOMINICK J. SALEMI, EXAMINING ATTORNEY

Case 3:08-cv-00480 RBB Pocument 1 SHEET SHEET Page 10 of 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

| the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN                           | m, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Unite STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) |  | red for the use of the Clerk of   |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS   |   | DEFENDANTS                               |   |  |  |
| OAKLEY, INC., a Washii                                    | ngton corporation   | WEYCO GROUP<br>BUSH                      | WEYCO GROUP, INC., a Wisconsin corporation, dba NUNN BUSH  WEYCO GROUP, INC., a Wisconsin corporation, dba NUNN |  |  |
| (b) County of Residence                                   | of First Listed Plaintiff Orange County, CA   | County of Residence of                   | of First Listed Defendant   | Milwankee County, WI                                     |  |
| (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)                          |   |  | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.        |  |  |
|   |   | LAND                                     | ENH.  | 1  |  |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Name,                                | Address, and Telephone Number)  | Attorneys (If Known)                     | 0 01 0 100  | L RBB  |  |
| Weeks, Kaufman, Nelson<br>Solana Beach, CA 92075          | & Johnson, 462 Stevens Avenue, Suite 3 (858) 794-2140                                       | 10,                                      | <b>18 CV 0480</b>   | r KDD  |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD                                       | ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)   | III. CITIZENSHIP OF P                    | PRINCIPAL PARTIES   | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff                   |  |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff                             | ■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  |  | TF DEF  1 1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In Thi  |  |  |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant                             | ☐ 4 Diversity   | Citizen of Another State                 | J 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A   | Principal Place  |  |
| Solvidan  | (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)   | Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country | 3 G 3 Foreign Nation  | □ 6 □ 6  |  |
|   | Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  |  |   |  |  |
| CONTRACT  | TORTS   | FORFEITURE/PENALTY                       | BANKRUPTCY  | OTHER STATUTES   |  |
| ☐ 110 Insurance<br>☐ 120 Marine                           | PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUI  310 Airplane  362 Personal Injury                           | 1 <u> </u>                               | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal  | ☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust               |  |
| 130 Miller Act  | 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice   |  | 28 USC 157  | 430 Banks and Banking                                    |  |
| ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability                        |  | PROPERTY RIGHTS   | ☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation                          |  |
| & Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act               |   | al 640 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs.    | ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent   | 470 Racketeer Influenced and                             |  |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted            | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability                                 | 630 Airline Regs.                        | 840 Trademark   | Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit               |  |
| Student Loans   | 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPEI  |  |   | ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV                                       |  |
| (Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment             | ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lendin                                | g LABOR                                  | SOCIAL SECURITY   | ■ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/    |  |
| of Veteran's Benefits                                     | ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal  | ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards               | ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)  | Exchange   |  |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract            | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage                 |  | ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)<br>☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  | 875 Customer Challenge<br>12 USC 3410                    |  |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability                          | ☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability  | ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting               | ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI  | ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions                            |  |
| ☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY                            | Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION  | & Disclosure Act  740 Railway Labor Act  | ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS  | 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act     |  |
| 210 Land Condemnation                                     | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca  | ate 790 Other Labor Litigation           | ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff   | □ 893 Environmental Matters                              |  |
| ☐ 220 Foreclosure<br>☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment         | ☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:                                     | 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.                      | or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party   | ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information |  |
| 240 Torts to Land   | Accommodations 530 General  | Security Act                             | 26 USC 7609   | Act  |  |
| 245 Tort Product Liability                                | 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty   |  |   | ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination                         |  |
| 290 All Other Real Property                               | ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights               | ther                                     |   | Under Equal Access to Justice                            |  |
|   | ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Conditio  | n  |   | ☐ 950 Constitutionality of                               |  |
|   | Other  440 Other Civil Rights   |  |   | State Statutes   |  |
| and and a   | e an "X" in One Box Only)  Removed from  Remanded from                                      |  | sferred from  | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate                 |  |
|   | tate Court Annellate Court  | Reopened (spec                           | ifv) Litigation   |  |  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO  | Brief description of cause:   |  | ai statutes unless diversity):  |  |  |
| VII DECLIECTED IN   | This is a case of trademark infringe  |  | CHECK WAS   |  |  |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:                              | UNDER F.R.C.P. 23   | N DEMAND \$                              | JURY DEMAND   | if demanded in complaint:  Yes No                        |  |
| VIII. RELATED CAS   | (See instructions): JUDGE   |  | DOCKET NUMBER   |  |  |
| DATE  | SIGNATURE OF A  | TTORNEY OF RECORD                        | ·   |  |  |
| 03/14/2008  | Mr G.   | Sele                                     |   |  |  |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # 148188                      | AMOUNT \$350 APPLYING IFP   | JUDGE                                    | мас. лл   | DGE.   |  |
| 110100  |   |  |   |  |  |
|   | 5/14/108  |  |   |  |  |

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 148788 - SH

March 14, 2008 16:23:17

#### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USA0 #.: 08CV0480

Judge..: M. JAMES LORENZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC1080

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: OAKLEY INC. V. WEYCO GROUP INC